

Target Area: Communication, Language, Speech Disorders

<p>Kiran & Thompson (2003). <i>The Role of Semantic Complexity in Treatment of Naming Deficits: Training Semantic Categories in Fluent Aphasia by Controlling Exemplar Typicality</i>. Journal of Speech, Language and Hearing Research 46(3): 608-622</p>	<p>SCED score - <i>to be confirmed</i></p>
<p>Method/Results</p>	<p>Rehabilitation Program</p>
<p>Design:</p> <p>Y Study type: SSD. Multiple baseline across behaviours, replicated across participants.</p> <p>Y Participants: n=4 people with fluent aphasia (25% male, M=63-75 years).</p> <p>Y Setting: University speech and language clinic.</p> <p>Target behaviour measure/s:</p> <p>Y Naming of typical and atypical items within semantic categories.</p> <p>Primary outcome measure/s:</p> <p>Y Response to naming pictures of birds and vegetables.</p> <p>Result: Training on atypical exemplars resulted in generalization to naming of intermediate and typical items.</p>	<p>Aim: To evaluate acquisition of trained items and generalization to untrained items within and across word categories.</p> <p>Materials: 48 confrontation naming pictures from 2 categories: birds and vegetables and three distracter categories with 12 examples in each.</p> <p>Treatment plan/procedure</p> <p>Y Duration: Treatment was discontinued when naming accuracy of 7 of 8 items was observed for 2 consecutive sessions or when a total of 20 treatment sessions (10 probe sessions) was completed.</p> <p>Y Procedure: Once a day for 2 hours twice a week.</p> <p>Y Content: Participants performed the following steps for each of the 8 examples of the subset:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Naming the picture. 2. Sorting pictures by category. 3. Identifying semantic attributes applicable to the target example from a set of category features. 4. Answering yes/no questions pertaining to the semantic features of the target item.